|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement ID** | **MoSCoW Priority** | **Function Description** | **Justification for ID** | **Prioritisation Justification** |
| NFR01 | Could-Haves | Performance | Ensures reasonable platform loading time | Important but can be refined as the project progresses. |
| NFR02 | Should-Haves | Usability | Provides an intuitive user interface | Necessary for enhanced user engagement. |
| NFR03 | Should-Haves | Reliability | Ensures minimal downtime for maintenance | Necessary for enhanced user satisfaction. |
| NFR04 | Should-Haves | Video Format Compatibility | Ensures the platform supports common video formats | Necessary for enhanced user engagement. |
| NFR05 | Could-Haves | Submission Load Time | Ensures efficient loading of project submissions | Necessary for enhanced user engagement. |
| NFR06 | Must-Haves | Data Security | Safeguard user information and project data | Compromise in security could have severe consequences, impacting trust. |
| NFR07 | Should-Haves | Scalability | Accommodate a growing number of projects | Important as the platform grows; progressively more critical with increased usage. |
| NFR08 | Must-Haves | Project Submission | Allows students to submit final year projects | Without it, the platform would serve no purpose. |
| NFR09 | Could -Haves | Lecturer Review | Facilitates the review and Filtering of projects | Essential for maintaining the quality and relevance of showcased projects, preventing the platform from becoming an overwhelming archive. |
| NFR10 | Must-Haves | Cross-Browser Compatibility | Ensure accessibility for users on all browsers | Critical for wide accessibility; failure could lead to a significant user base unable to use the platform effectively. |
| NFR11 | Must-Haves | Responsive Design | Enable optimal user experience on all devices | Crucial for optimal user experience, directly impacting user satisfaction and engagement. |